MFS® Core Equity Fund

(Class R6 Shares)

Second quarter 2026 investment report

Table of Contents

Performance and attribution results are for the fund or share class depicted and do not reflect the impact of your contributions and withdrawals. Your personal performance results may differ.

Portfolio characteristics are based on equivalent exposure, which measures how a portfolio's value would change due to price changes in an asset held either directly or, in the case of a derivative contract, indirectly. The market value of the holding may differ.

Fund Risks and Investment Objective

The fund may not achieve its objective and/or you could lose money on your investment in the fund.
Stock: Stock markets and investments in individual stocks are volatile and can decline significantly in response to or investor perception of, issuer, market, economic, industry, political, regulatory, geopolitical, environmental, public health, and other conditions.
Please see the prospectus for further information on these and other risk considerations.

Investment Objective: Seeks capital appreciation.

Executive Summary

Performance results (%) R6 shares at NAV (USD) as of 30-Jun-26



Performance data shown represent past performance and are no guarantee of future results. Investment return and principal value fluctuate so your shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than the original cost; current performance may be lower or higher than quoted. For most recent month-end performance, please visit mfs.com.

Performance results reflect any applicable expense subsidies and waivers in effect during the periods shown. Without such subsidies and waivers the fund's performance results would be less favorable. All results assume the reinvestment of dividends and capital gains.

Shares are available without a sales charge to eligible investors.

Source for benchmark performance SPAR, FactSet Research Systems Inc.

For periods of less than one-year returns are not annualized.

Included in all fund classes' total returns for the year ended December 31, 2017, are proceeds received from a non-recurring litigation settlement against Household International Inc. Had these proceeds not been included, all total returns within calendar year 2017 would have been lower by 0.89%.

^ Russell 3000® Index

Sector weights (%) as of 30-Jun-26	Portfolio	Benchmark^^
Top overweights		
Consumer Cyclicals	10.5	9.6
Communication Services	10.0	9.4
Financial Services	12.6	12.4
Top underweights		
Technology	33.3	35.0
Health Care	9.4	9.9
Capital Goods	14.8	14.9

^^ Russell 3000® Index

The sectors described and the associated portfolio composition are based on MFS' own sector classification methodology which differs from industry classification standards, including the standard that is associated with the benchmark composition presented. The variance in sector weights between the portfolio and the benchmark would be different if an industry classification standard was used.

The MFS Core Equity Fund underperformed the Russell 3000® Index in the second quarter of 2026.

Contributors

- Individual stocks:
  - Seagate Technology Holdings Plc
  - Kla Corp
  - Myr Group Inc
  - Arista Networks Inc
  - Legence Corp

Detractors

- Technology - Stock selection
- Individual stocks:
  - Pfizer Inc

Performance Results

Performance results (%) R6 shares at NAV (USD) as of 30-Jun-26

Period	Portfolio	Benchmark^	Excess return vs benchmark
2Q 2026	11.53	15.44	-3.91
1Q 2026	-4.01	-3.96	-0.05
4Q 2025	1.38	2.40	-1.02
3Q 2025	5.83	8.18	-2.35
2026 YTD	7.06	10.88	-3.82
2025	12.76	17.15	-4.39
2024	20.49	23.81	-3.32
2023	23.27	25.96	-2.69
2022	-16.90	-19.21	2.31
2021	25.47	25.66	-0.19
10 year	14.39	15.06	-0.67
5 year	10.23	12.31	-2.07
3 year	16.28	20.36	-4.08
1 year	14.86	22.82	-7.97

Performance data shown represent past performance and are no guarantee of future results. Investment return and principal value fluctuate so your shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than the original cost; current performance may be lower or higher than quoted. For most recent month-end performance, please visit mfs.com.
Performance results reflect any applicable expense subsidies and waivers in effect during the periods shown. Without such subsidies and waivers the fund's performance results would be less favorable. All results assume the reinvestment of dividends and capital gains.
Shares are available without a sales charge to eligible investors.
Source for benchmark performance SPAR, FactSet Research Systems Inc.
For periods of less than one-year returns are not annualized.
Included in all fund classes' total returns for the year ended December 31, 2017, are proceeds received from a non-recurring litigation settlement against Household International Inc. Had these proceeds not been included, all total returns within calendar year 2017 would have been lower by 0.89%.

^ Russell 3000® Index

Performance Drivers - Sectors

Relative to Russell 3000® Index (USD) - second quarter 2026		Average relative weighting (%)	Portfolio returns (%)	Benchmark returns (%)	Sector allocation[1] (%) +	Stock selection[2] (%) =	Relative contribution (%)
Contributors	Consumer Cyclicals	0.5	10.0	6.3	-0.1	0.4	0.4
	Capital Goods	-0.3	13.9	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Detractors	Technology	-0.9	22.3	31.6	-0.1	-2.7	-2.8
	Health Care	-0.1	2.0	10.5	0.0	-0.9	-0.9
	Communication Services	0.2	7.3	8.4	-0.0	-0.1	-0.2
	Financial Services	-0.2	8.2	9.5	0.0	-0.2	-0.1
	Energy	0.1	-5.7	-4.7	-0.0	-0.1	-0.1
	Cash	0.8	0.9	—	-0.1	—	-0.1
	Consumer Staples	-0.0	2.2	4.4	0.0	-0.1	-0.1
Total			**11.5**	**15.4**	**-0.3**	**-3.6**	**-3.8**

1 Sector allocation is calculated based upon each security's price in local currency.

2 Stock selection is calculated based upon each security's price in local currency and included interaction effect. Interaction effect is the portion of the portfolio's relative performance attributable to combining allocation decisions with stock selection decisions. This effect measures the relative strength of the manager's convictions. The interaction effect is the weight differential times the return differential.

Attribution results are generated by the FactSet application utilizing a methodology that is widely accepted in the investment industry. Results are based upon daily holdings using a buy-and-hold methodology to generate individual security returns and do not include fees or expenses. As such, attribution results are essentially estimates and do not aggregate to the total return of the portfolio, which can be found elsewhere in this presentation. Recent geopolitical events may have impacted or disrupted the pricing of specific securities including the use of fair valuation approaches. Fair valuation practices across pricing sources – index providers, pricing vendors, MFS - may not align due to security specific considerations or timing of fair valuation parameters. For instance, decisions to use stale prices vs fair value or on the level of haircut when fair valuing securities are typical sources of discrepancy between pricing sources observed during the events. As these securities are bought or sold, the portion of the security’s return attributed to the difference between fair value price and trade price will not be recognized in attribution results. These factors may further compound differences between attribution results and actual performance. Index futures shown might be used for cash management or hedging purposes. To obtain the contribution calculation methodology and a complete list of every holding’s contribution to the overall portfolio’s performance during the measurement period, please email DLAttributionGrp@MFS.com .

The sectors described and the associated portfolio composition are based on MFS' own sector classification methodology which differs from industry classification standards, including the standard that is associated with the benchmark composition presented. The variance in sector weights between the portfolio and the benchmark would be different if an industry classification standard was used.

Performance Drivers - Stocks

Relative to Russell 3000® Index (USD) - second quarter 2026		Average Weighting (%) Portfolio	Average Weighting (%) Benchmark	Returns (%) Portfolio[1]	Returns (%) Benchmark	Relative contribution(%)
Contributors	Seagate Technology Holdings Plc	0.5	—	146.5	—	0.6
	Kla Corp	1.1	0.4	105.2	105.2	0.4
	Myr Group Inc	0.6	0.0	77.2	77.2	0.3
	Arista Networks Inc	1.3	0.2	38.4	38.4	0.3
	Legence Corp	0.7	0.0	51.0	51.0	0.2
Detractors	Micron Technology Inc	0.6	1.2	45.1	241.7	-0.9
	Advanced Micro Devices Inc	0.3	0.9	7.1	185.6	-0.8
	Intel Corp	—	0.6	—	216.4	-0.6
	Pfizer Inc	1.5	0.2	-12.8	-12.8	-0.4
	Applied Materials Inc	—	0.5	—	111.8	-0.4

[1] Represents performance for the time period stock was held in portfolio.

Attribution results are generated by the FactSet application utilizing a methodology that is widely accepted in the investment industry. Results are based upon daily holdings using a buy-and-hold methodology to generate individual security returns and do not include fees or expenses. As such, attribution results are essentially estimates and do not aggregate to the total return of the portfolio, which can be found elsewhere in this presentation. Recent geopolitical events may have impacted or disrupted the pricing of specific securities including the use of fair valuation approaches. Fair valuation practices across pricing sources – index providers, pricing vendors, MFS - may not align due to security specific considerations or timing of fair valuation parameters. For instance, decisions to use stale prices vs fair value or on the level of haircut when fair valuing securities are typical sources of discrepancy between pricing sources observed during the events. As these securities are bought or sold, the portion of the security's return attributed to the difference between fair value price and trade price will not be recognized in attribution results. These factors may further compound differences between attribution results and actual performance. Index futures shown might be used for cash management or hedging purposes. To obtain the contribution calculation methodology and a complete list of every holding's contribution to the overall portfolio's performance during the measurement period, please email DLAttributionGrp@MFS.com .

Significant Impacts on Performance - Detractors

Relative to Russell 3000® Index (USD) - second quarter 2026		Relative contribution (%)
Micron Technology Inc	The timing of the portfolio's ownership in shares of semiconductor company Micron Technology (United States) hindered relative returns. The company benefited from a strong pricing recovery and tightening supply in conventional memory markets, alongside exceptional demand for high-bandwidth memory products. Operating results were further supported by substantial revenue growth in key business units serving cloud memory and data center applications.	-0.9
Advanced Micro Devices Inc	The timing of the portfolio's ownership in shares of global semiconductor company Advanced Micro Devices (United States) detracted from relative performance. The share price rose, driven by robust revenue growth in the data center segment, where demand for AI infrastructure and server processors exceeded market expectations. This upward movement was further supported by market share gains and improved gross margins in the client segment.	-0.8
Intel Corp	Not owning shares of semiconductor manufacturer Intel (United States) detracted from relative performance. The stock price advanced as the company announced a strategic partnership with Apple to expand semiconductor production capacity, representing a significant milestone for its foundry business. The company also introduced its Xeon 6 processor family and highlighted the role of agentic AI in expanding CPU demand. Management also outlined a path toward meaningful AI semiconductor revenue growth supported by supply agreements with major industry partners.	-0.6

Significant Impacts on Performance - Contributors

Relative to Russell 3000® Index (USD) - second quarter 2026		Relative contribution (%)
Seagate Technology Holdings Plc	Holding shares of data storage products manufacturer Seagate Technology (United States) contributed to relative performance. The stock price rose during the period, driven by robust demand for nearline hard disk drives in cloud and AI data centers. Financial results showcased significant year-over-year revenue and earnings growth supported by effective pricing strategies.	0.6
Kla Corp	An overweight position in semiconductor industry services provider KLA (United States) contributed to relative returns. KLA Corp's share price performance was driven by its dominant market position in semiconductor process control equipment and exposure to leading-edge foundry spending. Strong demand for DRAM-related equipment further supported the stock.	0.4
Myr Group Inc	The portfolio's overweight position in electrical construction company MYR Group (United States) benefited relative returns. Strong financial results with record net income and a robust order backlog drove positive stock performance, driven by market demand in the commercial and industrial segment, notably from data centers. Favorable customer spending and strong project execution further supported the utility infrastructure business.	0.3

Significant Transactions

From 01-Apr-26 to 30-Jun-26		Sector	Transaction type	Trade (%)	Ending weight (%)
Purchases	BANK OF AMERICA CORP	Financial Services	New position	1.5	1.4
	MICRON TECHNOLOGY INC	Technology	New position	1.0	1.5
	ADVANCED MICRO DEVICES INC	Technology	New position	1.0	1.1
	ARISTA NETWORKS INC	Technology	Add	0.6	1.8
	SPACE EXPLORATION TECHNOLOGIES CORP	Communication Services	New position	0.6	0.6
Sales	LAM RESEARCH CORP	Technology	Eliminate position	-1.8	–
	SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY HOLDINGS PLC	Technology	Trim	-0.7	0.3
	APPLE INC	Technology	Trim	-0.6	5.4
	MORGAN STANLEY	Financial Services	Trim	-0.6	0.3
	AUTODESK INC	Technology	Eliminate position	-0.5	–

The sectors described and the associated portfolio composition are based on MFS' own sector classification methodology which differs from industry classification standards, including the standard that is associated with the benchmark composition presented. The variance in sector weights between the portfolio and the benchmark would be different if an industry classification standard was used.

Sector Weights

As of 30-Jun-26	Portfolio (%)	Benchmark^ (%)	Underweight/overweight(%)	Top holdings
Consumer Cyclicals	10.5	9.6	0.9	Amazon.com Inc
Communication Services	10.0	9.4	0.6	Alphabet Inc Class A, Meta Platforms Inc
Financial Services	12.6	12.4	0.2	JPMorgan Chase & Co, Bank of America Corp, Mastercard Inc
Energy	6.1	6.0	0.1	Exxon Mobil Corp
Consumer Staples	2.7	2.7	0.0	Philip Morris International Inc
Capital Goods	14.8	14.9	-0.1	Caterpillar Inc
Health Care	9.4	9.9	-0.5	Johnson & Johnson, Pfizer Inc, Gilead Sciences Inc
Technology	33.3	35.0	-1.7	NVIDIA Corp, Apple Inc, Microsoft Corp

^ Russell 3000® Index

0.7% Cash & Cash Equivalents.

The sectors described and the associated portfolio composition are based on MFS' own sector classification methodology which differs from industry classification standards, including the standard that is associated with the benchmark composition presented. The variance in sector weights between the portfolio and the benchmark would be different if an industry classification standard was used.

Characteristics

As of 30-Jun-26	Portfolio	Benchmark^
Fundamentals - weighted average		
IBES long-term EPS growth [1]	20.7%	20.8%
Price/earnings (12 months forward)	20.8x	21.4x
Market capitalization		
Market capitalization (USD) [2]	1,290.5 bn	1,256.1 bn
Diversification		
Top ten issues	37%	32%
Number of Issues	175	2,998
Turnover		
Trailing 1 year turnover [3]	56%	—
Risk/reward (10 year)		
Historical tracking error	2.15%	—
Standard deviation	15.03%	15.81%
Beta	0.94	—

^ Russell 3000® Index

Past performance is no guarantee of future results.

No forecasts can be guaranteed.

[1] Source: FactSet

[2] Weighted average.

[3] US Turnover Methodology: (Lesser of Purchase or Sales)/Average Month End Market Value

Top 10 Issuers

Top 10 Issuers as of 30-Jun-26	Portfolio (%)	Benchmark^ (%)
NVIDIA CORP	7.4	6.4
APPLE INC	5.4	5.8
ALPHABET INC	5.1	5.2
AMAZON.COM INC	4.5	3.2
MICROSOFT CORP	4.2	3.8
BROADCOM INC	3.4	2.4
META PLATFORMS INC	2.2	1.7
JPMORGAN CHASE & CO	1.8	1.2
ARISTA NETWORKS INC	1.8	0.2
JOHNSON & JOHNSON	1.5	0.8
Total	**37.3**	**30.8**

^ Russell 3000® Index

Portfolio Outlook and Positioning

We employ a generally industry-neutral approach relative to the Russell 3000® Index and use our bottom-up fundamental investment approach aimed to identify solid companies. We do this while maintaining a bias toward companies that we feel have the ability to generate above-average, durable growth whose stocks trade at reasonable valuations. Our analysts seek to add value within their industries through stock selection.

AI and semiconductors continued to drive equity markets higher during the second quarter, with the S&P 500 and Nasdaq posting their strongest quarterly returns since the second quarter of 2020. On the surface, market breadth appeared to improve as the equal-weighted S&P 500 kept pace with the capitalization-weighted index, small-cap stocks outperformed, and the "Magnificent Seven" underperformed the broader market. Beneath the surface, however, the picture was more nuanced. Much of the market's leadership continued to be driven by the AI capital investment cycle, as accelerating datacenter spending fueled strong earnings growth across semiconductors, power management, cooling, networking, equipment, and other related infrastructure companies. While leadership broadened beyond the largest technology stocks, it remained concentrated in businesses directly or indirectly benefiting from AI investment. Several indicators highlight this dynamic. The S&P 500 Excluding AI Enablers Index underperformed the broader market, the PHLX Semiconductor Sector Index (SOX) recorded its strongest quarterly performance since its inception in 1993, and within the Russell 2000®, companies with negative earnings outperformed profitable businesses. Collectively, these trends suggest that investors continued to reward AI exposure, momentum, and higher-risk segments of the market despite the appearance of broader participation. Fundamentals have also remained supportive. Strong earnings growth, positive estimate revisions, and improving earnings momentum have been among the most important drivers of equity performance. While technology and AI-related companies have led these trends, the relationship extends well beyond the largest technology stocks. Even excluding the largest AI beneficiaries, companies exhibiting the strongest earnings momentum have continued to outperform by a wide margin.

During the quarter, the portfolio started several positions. These included Bank of America, Micron Technology and Advanced Micro Devices. Within banks, we initiated a position in Bank of America. We believe the company's scale, franchise strength, and business mix compare favorably with peers. In addition, the stock has lagged both this year and over the past several years, creating what we view as an attractive setup from a relative valuation perspective. At the same time, we are beginning to see improvements in the company's relative fundamental outlook, which could support a narrowing of that valuation discount. We initiate a new position in memory manufacturer Micron Technology. While memory remains a cyclical industry and the market continues to view current earnings as potentially elevated, there is a reasonable possibility that investors may gain greater confidence in the durability of this cycle. Similar shifts in perception have already contributed to multiple expansion across other parts of the semiconductor supply chain, including optical components, hard disk drives, and wafer fabrication equipment. Memory demand remains exceptionally strong, and memory is becoming an increasingly critical component of datacenter infrastructure. Depending on one's perspective, Micron can simultaneously be viewed as a beneficiary of near-term AI enthusiasm, a provider of a critical technological bottleneck enabling AI's continued advancement, and a source of portfolio construction challenges. We spent considerable time evaluating the opportunity but ultimately found that viewing the decision through a portfolio construction lens simplified the analysis. We also initiated a position in Advanced Micro Devices, which provides exposure to the higher-beta portion of AI infrastructure. We believe the semiconductor landscape is evolving in ways that create a wider range of potential outcomes than investors currently appreciate. We previously maintained an underweight position in CPUs and wanted to increase exposure to that segment of the market. We also believe CPUs may play a larger role in future datacenter architectures than is currently reflected in market expectations.

During the quarter, the portfolio also eliminated or trimmed several positions, including Lam Research, Seagate Technology and Apple. Our technology purchases were sourced from Lam Research, which at times exhibits characteristics similar to a memory-related investment. While future supply growth should eventually moderate industry economics, we are mindful that nearly every major AI breakthrough over the past several years has driven step-function increases in demand and adoption. In that context, owning a memory supplier provides both direct exposure to a potentially attractive long-term opportunity and a useful portfolio hedge against persistently elevated or strengthening memory prices, which could negatively impact several of our other holdings and Seagate, which had appreciated to become our largest active overweight position due to strong performance and warranted a prudent trim. Lastly, while Apple continues to execute well, we trimmed the stock as valuation looks less attractive.

Looking ahead, artificial intelligence remains one of the defining investment themes of this decade. While we continue to evaluate important uncertainties — the sustainability of hyperscaler capital spending, the pace of AI adoption, evolving competitive dynamics, the durability of today’s market leaders — we also recognize that the technology continues to advance at an extraordinary pace. Recent developments, including reasoning models and agentic AI, have reinforced our conviction that the opportunity set remains significant, although the path forward is unlikely to be linear. At the same time, we remain mindful of the challenges that accompany this investment cycle. The industry’s unprecedented capital requirements, potential technological setbacks, increasing commoditization, and changing market sentiment all warrant careful monitoring. More broadly, geopolitical developments, inflation, and consumer health

Portfolio Outlook and Positioning

continue to influence the macroeconomic backdrop. We expect these forces to create periods of heightened volatility and greater differentiation between winners and losers. Against this backdrop, we believe selectivity will become increasingly important. We continue to emphasize businesses with durable competitive advantages, resilient margins, strong returns on capital, and disciplined valuations. We also recognize that AI is likely to reshape industry profit pools in different ways — compressing economics in some sectors while creating new bottlenecks and opportunities in others. Our approach remains active, bottom-up, and long-term oriented, with an emphasis on high-quality franchises characterized by durable growth, resilient margins, and strong competitive positioning. This is complemented by a disciplined valuation framework, which we believe positions the strategy well for the road ahead.

51167.19

The commentary included in this report was based on a representative fully discretionary portfolio for this product style; as such the commentary may include securities not held in your portfolio due to account, fund, or other limits.

Portfolio Holdings

As of 30-Jun-26	Equivalent exposure (%)
Capital Goods	**14.8**
Caterpillar Inc	0.9
Amphenol Corp	0.7
MYR Group Inc	0.7
Legence Corp	0.7
Trane Technologies PLC	0.6
RTX Corp	0.6
Carvana Co	0.6
CRH PLC	0.6
GFL Environmental Inc	0.5
Simpson Manufacturing Co Inc	0.4
AMETEK Inc	0.4
WW Grainger Inc	0.4
Howmet Aerospace Inc	0.4
Pentair PLC	0.4
Union Pacific Corp	0.4
Linde PLC	0.4
Curtiss-Wright Corp	0.4
Eaton Corp PLC	0.4
Emerson Electric Co	0.4
General Dynamics Corp	0.3
Jacobs Solutions Inc	0.3
XPO Inc	0.3
Honeywell Aerospace Inc	0.3
Nordson Corp	0.3
Leidos Holdings Inc	0.3
Sherwin-Williams Co	0.3
RBC Bearings Inc	0.3
General Electric Co	0.2
SiteOne Landscape Supply Inc	0.2
FedEx Corp	0.2
BWX Technologies Inc	0.2
Ferguson Enterprises Inc	0.2

As of 30-Jun-26	Equivalent exposure (%)
Capital Goods	**14.8**
Highwoods Properties Inc REIT	0.2
International Paper Co	0.2
LKQ Corp	0.2
Avery Dennison Corp	0.2
Primoris Services Corp	0.1
Albemarle Corp	0.1
Arxis Inc	0.1
Visteon Corp	0.1
Aptiv PLC	0.1
Smartstop Self Storage REIT Inc REIT	0.1
Rexford Industrial Realty Inc REIT	0.1
Donaldson Co Inc	0.1
Cash & Cash Equivalents	**0.7**
Cash & Cash Equivalents	0.7
Communication Services	**10.0**
Alphabet Inc Class A	5.1
Meta Platforms Inc	2.2
Spotify Technology SA	0.7
Space Exploration Technologies Corp	0.6
Netflix Inc	0.6
Blackstone Digital Infrastructure Trust Inc REIT	0.4
T-Mobile US Inc	0.4
Consumer Cyclicals	**10.5**
Amazon.com Inc	4.5
BJ's Wholesale Club Holdings Inc	0.7
Uber Technologies Inc	0.6
TJX Cos Inc	0.6
Floor & Decor Holdings Inc	0.6
Hilton Worldwide Holdings Inc	0.4
Aramark	0.4
Performance Food Group Co	0.4
Starbucks Corp	0.3

Portfolio Holdings

As of 30-Jun-26	Equivalent exposure (%)
Consumer Cyclicals	**10.5**
Viking Holdings Ltd	0.3
Take-Two Interactive Software Inc	0.3
Tractor Supply Co	0.3
Birkenstock Holding Plc	0.2
Live Nation Entertainment Inc	0.2
Cintas Corp	0.2
Bright Horizons Family Solutions Inc	0.1
Amer Sports Inc	0.1
Grand Canyon Education Inc	0.1
DraftKings Inc	0.1
Columbia Sportswear Co	0.1
Phoenix Education Partners Inc	0.1
Wolverine World Wide Inc	0.0
Consumer Staples	**2.7**
Philip Morris International Inc	0.6
PepsiCo Inc	0.5
Colgate-Palmolive Co	0.4
Mondelez International Inc	0.3
Kenvue Inc	0.3
Coca-Cola Europacific Partners PLC	0.2
Tyson Foods Inc	0.1
International Flavors & Fragrances Inc	0.1
Celsius Holdings Inc	0.1
elf Beauty Inc	0.0
Energy	**6.1**
Exxon Mobil Corp	1.0
ConocoPhillips	0.8
GE Vernova Inc	0.7
PG&E Corp	0.4
Cheniere Energy Inc	0.4
Sempra	0.4
Valero Energy Corp	0.4

As of 30-Jun-26	Equivalent exposure (%)
Energy	**6.1**
Duke Energy Corp	0.3
NextEra Energy Inc	0.3
Vistra Corp	0.3
SLB Ltd	0.2
Xcel Energy Inc	0.2
Evergy Inc	0.2
EQT Corp	0.2
TechnipFMC PLC	0.2
Permian Resources Corp	0.1
Financial Services	**12.6**
JPMorgan Chase & Co	1.8
Bank of America Corp	1.4
Mastercard Inc	1.4
Chubb Ltd	0.7
Aon PLC	0.6
Visa Inc	0.5
Charles Schwab Corp	0.4
PNC Financial Services Group Inc	0.4
Progressive Corp	0.4
Moody's Corp	0.4
Wells Fargo & Co	0.4
KKR & Co Inc	0.4
Federal Realty Investment Trust REIT	0.4
Arthur J Gallagher & Co	0.4
CME Group Inc	0.3
Morgan Stanley	0.3
NNN REIT Inc REIT	0.3
Willis Towers Watson PLC	0.3
Principal Financial Group Inc	0.3
Raymond James Financial Inc	0.2
Assurant Inc	0.2
Prosperity Bancshares Inc	0.2

Portfolio Holdings

As of 30-Jun-26	Equivalent exposure (%)
Financial Services	**12.6**
Selective Insurance Group Inc	0.2
Columbia Banking System Inc	0.2
Northern Trust Corp	0.2
Everest Group Ltd	0.2
TPG Inc	0.1
Lincoln National Corp	0.1
Bullish	0.0
Health Care	**9.4**
Johnson & Johnson	1.5
Pfizer Inc	1.4
Gilead Sciences Inc	1.2
Medtronic PLC	0.8
Vertex Pharmaceuticals Inc	0.8
Cigna Group	0.7
Waters Corp	0.6
Becton Dickinson & Co	0.5
Humana Inc	0.4
Boston Scientific Corp	0.4
Repligen Corp	0.4
Illumina Inc	0.2
STERIS PLC	0.2
ICON PLC	0.1
Lumexa Imaging Holdings Inc	0.1
IDEXX Laboratories Inc	0.1
Waystar Holding Corp	0.0
Technology	**33.3**
NVIDIA Corp	7.4
Apple Inc	5.4
Microsoft Corp	4.2
Broadcom Inc	3.4
Arista Networks Inc	1.8
Micron Technology Inc	1.5

As of 30-Jun-26	Equivalent exposure (%)
Technology	**33.3**
KLA Corp	1.2
Advanced Micro Devices Inc	1.1
Cadence Design Systems Inc	1.0
Sandisk Corp	0.6
Okta Inc	0.5
Analog Devices Inc	0.5
JFrog Ltd	0.5
MongoDB Inc	0.4
MACOM Technology Solutions Holdings Inc	0.3
DigitalOcean Holdings Inc	0.3
Advanced Energy Industries Inc	0.3
Guidewire Software Inc	0.3
Zebra Technologies Corp	0.3
TransUnion	0.3
Seagate Technology Holdings PLC	0.3
Coherent Corp	0.2
Intuit Inc	0.2
Bentley Systems Inc	0.2
Block Inc	0.2
Accenture PLC	0.2
Snowflake Inc	0.2
CoStar Group Inc	0.2
Quantinuum Inc	0.1
Fidelity National Information Services Inc	0.1

The sectors described and the associated portfolio composition are based on MFS' own sector classification methodology which differs from industry classification standards, including the standard that is associated with the benchmark composition presented. The variance in sector weights between the portfolio and the benchmark would be different if an industry classification standard was used.

Additional Disclosures

Frank Russell Company ("Russell") is the source and owner of the Russell Index data contained or reflected in this material and all trademarks, service marks and copyrights related to the Russell Indexes. Russell® is a trademark of Frank Russell Company. Neither Russell nor its licensors accept any liability for any errors or omissions in the Russell Indexes and/or Russell ratings or underlying data and no party may rely on any Russell Indexes and/or Russell ratings and/or underlying data contained in this communication. No further distribution of Russell Data is permitted without Russell's express written consent. Russell does not promote, sponsor or endorse the content of this communication.

The views expressed in this [report, presentation, speech, etc.] are those of MFS, and are subject to change at any time. These views should not be relied upon as investment advice, as securities recommendations, or as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any MFS investment product.
Past performance is no guarantee of future results. No forecasts can be guaranteed.